



A Guide to the

MALAYSIAN IP SYSTEM

**Henry
Goh&Co**

PATENT, TRADEMARK & DESIGN AGENTS

MALAYSIA

A Guide to the
**MALAYSIAN
IP SYSTEM**

Please note that the information contained in this booklet is presented in good faith for general information and does not constitute legal advice. Kindly contact us should you have any specific questions. Based on the law as at 01 July 2017.

Copyright © 1994 - 2018 Henry Goh & Co Sdn Bhd

**Henry
Goh&Co**

PATENT, TRADEMARK & DESIGN AGENTS

MALAYSIA

Contents

INTRODUCTION	6
---------------------	---

PATENT	7
---------------	---

Patentability

Non-Patentable Inventions

Filing Requirements

Direct National Application

PCT National Phase Application

Reinstatement into PCT National Phase

Priority Documents

Publication and Provisional Protection

Maintenance Fees

Examination

Deferment of Examination

Prosecution Procedure

Voluntary Amendment

Microorganism Deposit

Divisional Applications

Extensions of Time

Expedited Examination

Patent Prosecution Highway Programs

Grant Procedure

Duration and Renewal

Reinstatement of Lapsed Patent

Utility Innovation Certificates

Post-Grant Amendment

Infringement

Invalidation

TRADE MARK	30
Registrable Trade Marks	
Filing Requirements	
Examination of Marks	
Expedited Examination	
Certification Trade Marks	
Renewal	
Rectification of the Register	
Registered User	
Infringement of Registered Marks	
GEOGRAPHICAL INDICATION	41
Qualification	
Application Process	
Opposition	
Registration	
Proceedings for Unlawful Use	
INDUSTRIAL DESIGN	44
Registrable Industrial Designs	
Novelty Requirements	
Statement of Novelty	
Multiple Application	
Set of Articles	
Filing Requirements	
Application Procedure	
Duration and Renewal	
Restoration	
United Kingdom Registered Designs	
Infringement	
Revocation	

INTEGRATED CIRCUIT LAYOUT-DESIGNS 55

- Definitions
- Qualification for Protection
- Term of Protection
- Rights Conferred
- Infringement
- Action and Remedies for Infringement

COPYRIGHT 59

- Qualification
- Ownership
- Copyright Subsistence and Proof of Ownership
- Voluntary Notification
- Rights Conferred
- Term of Protection
- Infringement
- Offences

PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION 65

- Registrability
- Non-Registrable Varieties
- Filing Requirements
- Examination
- Opposition
- Registration
- Duration and Renewal
- Infringement
- Invalidation
- Revocation

Introduction

Malaysia's intellectual property laws have been declared to be in compliance with the requirements of the WTO's TRIPs Agreement. Among other international agreements, Malaysia is a member of the Paris Convention, the Berne Convention and the Patent Cooperation Treaty. Malaysia has also committed to the ASEAN Economic Community Blueprint, which foresees implementation of the Madrid Protocol and the Hague Agreement.

The administration of patents, trade marks, geographical indications, industrial designs, integrated circuit layout-designs and copyright is carried out by the Malaysian Intellectual Property Corporation, better known simply as MyIPO. MyIPO is a corporate body under the Ministry of Domestic Trade, Co-operatives and Consumerism. It is fully autonomous in its administration and finance. On the other hand, the administration of plant variety protection falls under the Crop Quality Control Division of the Ministry of Agriculture.

Malaysia's modern and comprehensive set of IP laws and their administrative offices are backed up by an established Government policy to promote the awareness, registration and enforcement of intellectual property rights among the Malaysian business and trading community. As an example, MyIPO organizes regular educational seminars as well as an annual National IP Day event. Continuing efforts on all sides in developing the Malaysian IP system bode well for both local and foreign interests.

Patent

Patent protection in Malaysia is governed by the Patents Act 1983 which came into force on 01 October 1986. The earlier system of re-registering United Kingdom patents has been repealed.

Malaysia is a member of the Paris Convention and the World Trade Organization. The Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) entered into force for Malaysia (country code: MY) on 16 August 2006. Patent protection is thus obtainable in Malaysia by way of either entering the national phase of a PCT application or filing a direct national application.

PATENTABILITY

An invention is defined as an idea of an inventor which permits in practice the solution to a specific problem in the field of technology.

Malaysia adopts a first-to-file system with novelty generally assessed on an absolute basis. Thus, apart from limited exceptions, prior art consists of everything disclosed to the public, anywhere in the world, in any way before the priority date of an application. The content of the patent granted on an earlier-filed Malaysian application (that was not prior-published) is also citable for the purposes of novelty, although not inventive step.

A novelty grace period of 12 months preceding the Malaysian filing date is available in respect of prior disclosure due to acts committed by, or in abuse of the rights of, the applicant or his predecessor in title.

Inventive step is assessed based on obviousness to a person having ordinary skill in the art.

An invention is considered capable of industrial application if it can be made or used in any kind of industry.

NON-PATENTABLE INVENTIONS

Inventions consisting of any of the following are expressly excluded from patentability:

- a) discoveries, scientific theories and mathematical methods;
- b) plant or animal varieties or essentially biological processes for the production of plants or animals, other than man-made living microorganisms, microbiological processes and the products of such microbiological processes;
- c) schemes, rules or methods for doing business, performing purely mental acts or playing games; and
- d) methods for the treatment of the human or animal body by surgery or therapy, and diagnostic methods practiced on the human or animal body.

Nevertheless, the exclusion (d) above is without prejudice to the patentability of a novel medical use of any known substance or composition. In practice, claims of first and second medical use category are normally accepted during prosecution.

FILING REQUIREMENTS – DIRECT NATIONAL APPLICATION

The minimum requirements for securing a filing date (and priority date) of a Malaysian patent application are:

- a) the name and address of the applicant;
- b) the name and address of the inventor;
- c) a specification comprising a description, claims and any necessary drawings; and
- d) the country and filing date of any earlier application whose priority is claimed.

It is preferable, although not essential, to file the following additional information and documents with the initial application:

- e) the serial number of any priority application and the symbol of the International Patent Classification (IPC) allocated to it (if available);
- f) the state of incorporation/nationality of the applicant;
- g) an abstract of the invention;
- h) an Appointment of Agent form signed by the applicant; and
- i) if the applicant is not the inventor, a statement explaining how the applicant derives its right to the patent from the inventor, normally by virtue of assignment or employment.

The provision of the priority serial number, under item e), is due three months from the Malaysian filing date. The other items (f) through (i) may be filed later, if necessary, during the preliminary examination that occurs soon after filing the application. An adverse preliminary examination report has a three-month response term. There is no requirement or provision for the filing of an assignment from inventor to applicant.

The official languages for a Malaysian patent application are English and the national language, Bahasa Malaysia. In practice, nearly all applications are currently filed in English.

FILING REQUIREMENTS – PCT NATIONAL PHASE APPLICATION

The term for entering the Malaysian national phase of a PCT application is 30 months from the earliest priority date. This term applies irrespective of whether a Demand for international preliminary examination under Chapter II PCT has been filed. The official language for national phase entry is English. No other language has been prescribed.

The minimum requirements are:

- a) the details of the PCT application (suitably, the bibliographic page as published by WIPO);
- b) one copy of the PCT specification as published (in or translated to English);
- c) one copy of any amendments filed in the international phase (in or translated to English);
- d) Form PCT/RO/101 – the Request (in or translated to English);
- e) Form PCT/IB/306 – notification of the recording of a change (if any); and
- f) Form PCT/IB/308 (Second Notice) – communication of application to designated offices which apply 30-month time limit.

It is not necessary to submit item b) to MyIPO, if an English language specification that meets local formality requirements has been made publicly available by WIPO.

It is preferable, although not essential, to file the following additional information and documents with the initial application:

- g) an Appointment of Agent form signed by the applicant; and
- h) if the applicant is not the inventor, a statement explaining how the applicant derives its right to the patent from the inventor, normally by virtue of assignment or employment.

The additional items (g) and (h) may be filed later, if necessary, during the preliminary examination that occurs soon after filing the application. An adverse preliminary examination report has a three-month response term. There is no requirement or provision for the filing of an assignment from inventor to applicant.

REINSTATEMENT INTO PCT NATIONAL PHASE

An applicant that unintentionally fails to meet the requirements for national phase entry within the regular 30-month term may apply for reinstatement. Apart from completing the regular national phase entry requirements, the applicant must pay a surcharge for each month of lateness and submit

- (i) a written statement of reasons; and
- (ii) a declaration or other evidence in support of the request for reinstatement.

In practice, the written statement may be prepared on our side, whereas MyIPO requires a Statutory Declaration from the applicant or their attorney for the requirement (ii).

The maximum term for seeking reinstatement is

- (i) two months from the date of removal of the cause of the failure to meet the 30-month deadline or
- (ii) 12 months from the 30-month deadline,

whichever expires first.

PRIORITY DOCUMENTS

Unless requested by an examiner, there is no requirement to file a certified copy of any priority application or a translation thereof.

PUBLICATION AND PROVISIONAL PROTECTION

Malaysian patent applications are not published in printed form. However, the details of the application, including the specification and any amendments made to it are made available for public inspection after 18 months from the earliest priority date. The making available for public inspection of a pending application confers a so-called provisional protection under which, upon grant, the patentee may claim compensation for unauthorized use of the invention prior to grant. The international publication of a PCT application that designates Malaysia has equivalent effect in terms of provisional protection.

MAINTENANCE FEES

There are no maintenance fees payable on pending Malaysian patent applications.

EXAMINATION

A request for substantive examination must be filed within eighteen months of the Malaysian filing date for a direct national application, and within four years of the international filing date for a PCT national phase application.

There are two options: normal substantive examination and modified substantive examination.

Under normal substantive examination, MyIPO will conduct its own search and substantive examination of the application, assisted whenever possible by the results of any search and examination of the same invention in recognized foreign jurisdictions. For this purpose, the request for normal substantive examination must be accompanied by

- (i) the filing details of all related patent applications made in Australia, the United Kingdom, the European Patent Office, Japan, Korea, the United States and under the PCT;
- (ii) the serial numbers of any patents granted on those applications; and
- (iii) any available search and examination results of those applications including reports prepared under the PCT.

Certified English translations are required for any documents not in English. In current practice, an examiner will proceed to conduct normal examination without waiting for the above information or materials to be submitted. A deliberate failure to comply with the requirement may render the patent liable to invalidation. The requirement is not an ongoing one, but an examiner may call for further information or documents to be filed in the course of the examination.

A request for modified substantive examination may only be filed when a corresponding Australian, European, United Kingdom, Japanese, Korean or United States patent has been granted for the same invention. The request for modified substantive examination must be accompanied by a certified copy of the foreign patent relied on and, if the patent is not in English, a certified translation thereof into English. The description, claims and drawings of the Malaysian application must also be brought into substantial agreement with those of the granted foreign patent.

Under modified substantive examination, the application undergoes a simplified examination process. The application is checked for novelty, statutory subject matter and compliance with the specification of the foreign patent relied on as well as formal requirements. There is no examination for inventive step and unity of invention. Modified examination can only be based on a single foreign patent.

DEFERMENT OF EXAMINATION

The filing of a request for normal substantive examination may be deferred on the ground that the supporting information and documents are not yet available.

It is also possible to request normal examination and defer the submission of supporting information on corresponding foreign applications. In practice, this will not necessarily delay the start of the examination.

The filing of a request for modified substantive examination may be deferred on the ground that the foreign patent on which the examination will be based has not yet been granted or the required certified copy of it is not yet available.

Deferment must be applied for within the regular term for requesting examination. Effective 16 August 2006, the maximum period of deferment is five years from the Malaysian filing date or international filing date.

An applicant that is unable to file a request for modified examination within the deferment period, for example because a relevant foreign patent has not been granted, is permitted to file a late request for normal examination. The time limit for such a request is three months from expiry of the modified examination deferment period.

PROSECUTION PROCEDURE

Malaysian patent applications are examined generally in filing date order. Filing a request for examination early will not necessarily result in earlier examination. In the case of normal substantive examination, applicants are usually encouraged or required by an examiner to amend their application for substantial conformity to any corresponding foreign patent granted in one of the above-mentioned countries.

The term for response to an examination report is two months. For applications whose examination request was filed before 15 February 2011, the regular response term is three months. If no response is filed in time, or the response does not satisfy the examiner, the application may be refused. When the examiner recommends that an application be refused, the applicant may request a hearing.

VOLUNTARY AMENDMENT

A voluntary amendment of the specification may be filed at any time during the pendency of an application. No new matter can be added.

MICROORGANISM DEPOSIT

Malaysia has yet to accede to the Budapest Treaty. Thus, there is presently no provision in the Malaysian Patents Act for the recognition of microorganism/biological sample deposits made at International Depository Authorities under the Budapest Treaty. In order to fulfill the necessary disclosure requirement, the applicant will be required to execute a Statutory Declaration confirming that they will provide any person requesting a sample

of the microorganism/biological material disclosed in the patent application, with a declaration, addressed to the depositing authority, authorizing the depositing authority to furnish a sample of the relevant microorganism/biological material to the person. This Statutory Declaration is not a filing requirement. It can be submitted during prosecution, either voluntarily or upon the request of an examiner during substantive examination.

DIVISIONAL APPLICATIONS

If an objection of a lack of unity of invention is raised by an examiner during prosecution, the applicant may file a divisional application within a term of three months from the mailing date of the examination report containing that objection.

A voluntary divisional application, on the other hand, may only be filed up to the end of a term of three months from the mailing date of the first examination report received.

EXTENSIONS OF TIME

The 12-month convention priority period, 30-month PCT national phase entry term and the term for requesting examination or deferment are generally not extendible. Most other time limits can be extended at least once, upon payment of prescribed official fees. The latter include a fixed fee for each extension requested and a variable fee depending on the number of months of extension sought.

Of late, MyIPO has adopted a stricter stance on the granting of extensions of time and indicated that grant of any request for extension of time beyond six months must be accompanied by a Statement of Grounds and Statutory Declaration setting out the circumstances necessitating the further extension. The maximum extension allowable for responding to a substantive examination – adverse report is not more than 12 months from the regular response deadline.

The grant of any extension is at the Registrar's discretion.

EXPEDITED EXAMINATION

Since 15 February 2011, there are formal provisions for requesting expedition of examination of a patent application. There is no time limit for requesting expedition provided the application has already been laid open to public inspection and a request for examination has been submitted. Justification for the expedited examination is required in the form of a Statutory Declaration. A non-refundable official fee is payable.

The grounds on which expedited examination may be considered are:

- 1) national or public interest;
- 2) evidence of potential infringement or ongoing infringement proceedings;
- 3) registration is a condition to obtaining monetary grants from the Government or institutions recognized by the Registrar;
- 4) the invention has already been commercialized or the applicant intends to do so within two years of requesting expedition;

- 5) the invention relates to green technologies that will enhance the quality of the environment or conservation of energy sources; or
- 6) other reasonable grounds.

Upon approval of the request by MyIPO, the applicant must pay a further, substantial official fee for the expedited examination.

PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAMS

JPO-MyIPO PPH Pilot Program

An applicant that has a pending Malaysian patent application may request accelerated examination by MyIPO based on favourable examination by the Japan Patent Office (JPO) of a corresponding Japanese or PCT application (hereafter collectively called the “reference application”). In order to qualify for processing under the PPH program, the following conditions must be met:

- The Malaysian application and the reference application examined by the JPO must correspond – this is defined in terms of a priority relationship between the applications or a common PCT application.
- One or more claims of the reference application have been indicated as allowable by the JPO.
- The claims presented in the Malaysian application for examination under the PPH sufficiently correspond to such allowed claims.
- A request for normal examination has been filed with MyIPO (either previously, or with the PPH request).
- If the examination request was filed previously, MyIPO has not yet started the examination.

A request for PPH processing is made by submitting the following documents:

- An official request form.
- All relevant office actions issued by the JPO, or the Written Opinion or IPRP issued by the JPO for a PCT-based request.
- The claims determined to be allowable by the JPO.
- Any non-patent prior art references cited by the JPO examiner.
- A claim correspondence table that shows the relationship between the pending Malaysian claims and the claims deemed allowable by the JPO.
- English translations of the above documents if they are in Japanese - however, prior art citations do not need to be translated unless subsequently requested by the MyIPO examiner. A machine translation can be submitted initially, though an examiner may require a formal translation if the machine translation is inadequate.
- Documents and their translations do not need to be submitted if they are available to the MyIPO examiner through the JPO's Advanced Industrial Property Network (AIPN) or WIPO's PATENTSCOPE website.
- If the reference application has not been published, the documents must be submitted with the PPH request.
- No official fee is payable.

When a request for processing under this PPH program is accepted, the documents filed in support of the request are deemed to replace the information and documents on corresponding foreign applications that ordinarily need to be submitted to validate the examination request.

Upon filing a PPH request together with the required documentation, MyIPO will review it within two weeks and either accept or reject the request. Once accepted, an application will be allocated to an Examiner for accelerated examination. Any office action will be issued within three months. The applicant must respond within two months. Provided the application as filed with the PPH request, or as amended in response to the office action, meets all requirements, the application will proceed to grant. Thus, a final decision on grant should be obtainable within six months of submitting the PPH request.

EPO-MyIPO PPH Pilot Program

An applicant that has a pending Malaysian patent application may request accelerated examination by MyIPO based on favourable examination by the EPO of a corresponding European or PCT application (hereafter collectively called the “reference application”). In order to qualify for processing under the PPH program, the following conditions must be met:

- The Malaysian application and the reference application examined by the EPO must correspond – this is defined in terms of a priority relationship between the applications or a common PCT application.
- One or more claims of the reference application have been indicated as allowable by the EPO, either in a regional EP application or in the EPO’s capacity as an International Searching Authority or International Preliminary Examining Authority under the PCT.
- The claims presented in the Malaysian application for examination under the PPH sufficiently correspond to such allowed claims.

- A request for normal examination has been filed with MyIPO (either previously, or with the PPH request).
- If the examination request was filed previously, MyIPO has not yet started the examination.

A request for PPH processing is made by submitting the following documents:

- An official request form.
- A claim correspondence table that shows the relationship between the pending Malaysian claims and the claims deemed allowable by the EPO.
- All relevant office actions issued by the EPO or, where applicable, the latest office action containing the allowable claims on which the PPH request is based, or the Written Opinion or IPRP issued by the EPO for a PCT-based request.
- The claims determined to be allowable by the EPO.
- Any non-patent prior art references cited by the EPO Examiner.
- English translations of the above documents if they are not in English. Machine translations are admissible in the first instance, though MyIPO may request an accurate translation if the machine translation is insufficient.
- Documents and their translations do not need to be submitted if they have already been filed in the EP application or are available to the MyIPO Examiner via the Dossier Access System (DAS) or WIPO's PATENTSCOPE database. In that case, the applicant need only provide a list of the documents to be retrieved.
- If the reference application has not been published, the documents must be submitted with the PPH request.
- No official fee is payable.

When a request for processing under this PPH program is accepted, the documents filed in support of the request are deemed to replace the information and documents on corresponding foreign applications that ordinarily need to be submitted to validate the examination request.

Upon filing a PPH request together with the required documentation, MyIPO will review it within two weeks and either accept or reject the request. Once accepted, an application will be allocated to an Examiner for accelerated examination. Any office action will be issued within three months. The applicant must respond within two months. Provided the application as filed with the PPH request, or as amended in response to the office action, meets all requirements, the application will proceed to grant. Thus, a final decision on grant should be obtainable within six months of submitting the PPH request.

ASPEC Program

The ASEAN Patent Examination Cooperation (ASPEC) program is a regional patent cooperation project between the IP offices of the ASEAN member states of Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, and Viet Nam.

The purpose of this program is to share search and examination results between the participating offices to allow applicants in participating countries to obtain corresponding patents faster and more efficiently. The program potentially reduces duplication of the search and examination work done, thereby saving time and effort as well as improving patent quality.

Under the ASPEC program, any of the participating offices may consider the search and examination reports of a corresponding application from another participating office that it receives from the applicant with a request for processing under ASPEC. The prosecution and administrative processes will then be advanced out of turn until grant.

The essential requirements for requesting processing under the ASPEC program are as follow:

- The two applications must correspond in terms of a priority relationship between the applications or a common PCT application.
- A copy of the search report and examination report of the application filed with the other participating office must be submitted, together the claims covered by those reports.
- At least one of those claims must have been determined as allowable.
- All documents should be filed in English, or otherwise accompanied by verified English translations.
- No official fee is payable.

Although the ASPEC program has not been used that extensively, it is potentially of benefit to applicants that have filed corresponding applications in multiple ASEAN countries, and the claims have been accepted as patentable in one of those countries.

GRANT PROCEDURE

Upon successful completion of the substantive examination, a clear examination report will be issued. The application will normally proceed to grant shortly after issuance of this clear report. For applications whose examination request was filed before 15 February 2011, an official fee is payable for obtaining the patent certificate.

Effective 01 June 2016, if an application proceeds directly to allowance without the issuance of any examination report, the following shall apply. The applicant is allowed a term of two months for the filing of any voluntary amendment and a term of three months for the filing of any voluntary divisional application. These terms run from the mailing date of the clear report and are not extendible. The grant of the patent will be held in abeyance pending their expiry, unless the applicant specifically informs MyIPO to proceed immediately with grant.

DURATION AND RENEWAL

The duration of a Malaysian patent depends on its filing date. If the filing date is prior to 01 August 2001 and the application was pending or granted and in force as of that date, the duration is 15 years calculated from the date of grant or 20 years calculated from the filing date, whichever expires later. If the filing date is on or after 01 August 2001, the duration is 20 years calculated from the filing date in the case of a direct national application and from the international filing date in the case of a PCT national phase application.

An annual renewal fee must be paid in order to keep the patent in force. The base date for renewal fee deadlines is the date of grant for both 15- and 20-year term patents. There are no back renewal fees payable on grant. The first renewal fee for a patent is due one year from the date of grant. Each renewal fee may be paid up to six months late, subject to a surcharge of 100% of the regular official fee. There are currently no provisions for patent term extension.

An Appointment of Agent form is required when a renewal fee is first paid by a new agent. If not filed with the renewal payment, an official letter will be issued that requires the Appointment of Agent form to be filed before the renewal is processed. Since 15 February 2011, an official fee is payable upon filing the Appointment of Agent form.

REINSTATEMENT OF LAPSED PATENT

If a patent lapses unintentionally, the owner may apply for its reinstatement within two years of publication of a notice of the lapsing in the Government Gazette. The condition for reinstatement is that the non-payment of the annual fee was due to accident, mistake or other unforeseeable circumstances.

UTILITY INNOVATION CERTIFICATES

The Malaysian patent system includes provision for the grant of utility innovation certificates. A utility innovation is defined as any innovation which creates a new product or process, or any new improvement of a known product or process, which is capable of industrial application. There is no requirement for an inventive step.

The filing requirements and prosecution procedure are basically the same as for patents. A utility innovation certificate may only have one claim and its maximum term is 20 years from the filing date. However, renewal beyond 10 and 15 years from the filing date is dependent on completing an extension procedure that includes presenting evidence of commercial or industrial use in Malaysia, or a satisfactory explanation of non-use.

POST-GRANT AMENDMENT

Post-grant amendments are possible provided the patent is not the subject of Court proceedings at the time of amendment. Any such amendment cannot broaden the scope of protection conferred at the time of grant nor go beyond the disclosure of the original patent specification.

Upon filing, the amendments will be forwarded to a senior patent examiner for consideration. If objections are raised by the examiner, the applicant will be given an opportunity to respond.

Upon approval, the amendments will be made available for public inspection by MyIPO. A notice that the patent has been amended post-grant will also be inserted into the Patent Register.

The post-grant amendments will have effect as of the date they were approved by MyIPO.

INFRINGEMENT

The exclusive rights of the patent owner are to exploit the patented invention; assign or transmit the patent; and conclude licence contracts.

Infringement occurs when unauthorized exploitation of the patented invention takes place. However, there is no contributory (or indirect) infringement of a Malaysian patent.

Infringement proceedings must be taken by the patent owner within five years of the act(s) of infringement (two years in the case of a utility innovation certificate).

For a patent granted in respect of a product, infringement consists of:

- i) making, importing, offering for sale, selling or using the product; and
- ii) stocking such product for the purpose of offering for sale, selling or using.

For a patent granted in respect of a process, infringement consists of using the process; and doing any of the acts set out under (i) and (ii) above in respect of a product obtained directly by means of the process.

The patent right extends only to acts done for industrial or commercial purposes. Among other exclusions, the patent rights do not extend to acts done to make, use, offer to sell or sell a patented invention solely for uses reasonably related to the development and submission of information to the relevant authority which regulates the manufacture, use or sale of drugs.

INVALIDATION

An aggrieved person may seek invalidation of a granted Malaysian patent by way of application to the High Court, on any of the following grounds:

- a) the claimed invention is not patentable;
- b) the description or claims do not comply with the Patents Regulations;
- c) any drawings necessary for understanding the claimed invention were not furnished;
- d) the right to the patent does not belong to the person to whom the patent was granted; and
- e) incomplete or incorrect information (on corresponding foreign cases) has been deliberately provided or caused to be provided in connection with a request for normal substantive examination.

Any declaration of invalidity may be partial or full, and is effective back to the date of grant. The patent is therefore treated as never granted to the extent it is declared invalid.

Trade Mark

Trade mark protection in Malaysia is governed by the Trade Marks Act 1976 and the Trade Marks Regulations 1997.

The Trade Marks (Amendment) Regulations 2011 came into effect on 15 February 2011; affecting new applications filed on or after this date and trade marks already registered as at this same date.

Malaysia is a signatory to the Paris Convention and is also bound by the TRIPs Agreement.

REGISTRABLE TRADE MARKS

Section 3 of the Trade Marks Act 1976 gives a wide definition of the word “mark” to include a device, brand, heading, label, ticket, name, signature, word, letter, numeral or any combination thereof.

The same Section also gives a definition to “trade mark” as a mark that is used or proposed to be used in relation to goods or services for the purpose of indicating or so as to indicate a connection in the course of trade between the goods or services and a person having the right to the trade mark.

From the above, it can be seen that there is subtle distinction between the two definitions. A mark only becomes a trade mark if it is used (or proposed to be used) in a business or trade for goods or services, and the mark that is used must be for the purpose of indicating a connection between the goods and the proprietor of the mark.

What marks are registrable as trade marks? The answer is found in Section 10 of the Act which provides that the mark must satisfy at least one of the following essential particulars:

- the name of an individual, company or firm represented in a special or particular manner;
- the signature of the applicant for registration;
- an invented word(s);
- a word that does not have any direct reference to the character or quality of the goods or services and is not in its ordinary meaning a geographical name or surname; or
- any other distinctive mark.

FILING REQUIREMENTS

Minimum documentation requirements for filing a trade mark application in Malaysia are:

- a) one clear copy of the mark in JPEG format;
- b) a list of goods or services. All terms used to specify the goods or services should closely follow the latest edition of Nice International Classification;
- c) the full name and address of the applicant, body description of company, country/state of incorporation;
- d) a Statutory Declaration from the applicant affirming that they are the bona fide proprietors of the mark and that the application is made in good faith. The declaration has to be signed before a Commissioner for Oaths (for local declarant) or before a Notary Public (if signed abroad);
- e) for marks that contain non-English words, a certified transliteration and translation will be required; and
- f) if convention priority is claimed, a certified copy of the priority application is required with a certified English translation where documents are not in English.

EXAMINATION OF MARKS

Once a trade mark application is filed, it will be searched and examined to determine whether the mark satisfies the requirements of registration as provided in the Trade Marks Act 1976. Under normal circumstances, this process is usually completed within 12 months from the date of filing.

During examination, if there are no objections issued by the examining officer, the mark will be allowed to proceed to the next stage called the advertisement stage. The mark would then be registered subject to any opposition following its publication in the Government Gazette.

On the other hand, if the mark does not satisfy one of the five essential particulars listed above or if the examining officer finds there is a conflict with a prior registration or application, an initial objection letter will be issued to the applicant setting out the grounds of objection. The applicant is then invited to respond to the objection letter within two months from the date of the objection letter. It is possible to request for an extension of time within which to reply upon the payment of extension fees, but the grant of extension is subject to the Registrar's discretion.

In addition to the above, other grounds of refusal include:

- a) that the use of the mark or part of the mark is likely to deceive or cause confusion to the public or would be contrary to law;
- b) the mark contains or comprises any scandalous or offensive matter;
- c) that the mark is identical with or so nearly resembles a mark which is well-known in Malaysia for the same goods or services of another proprietor;
- d) that the mark contains or consists of a geographical indication with respect to goods not originating in the territory indicated;

- e) that the mark is for wine or spirits and contains or consists of a geographical indication identifying wines or spirits not originating in the place indicated by the geographical indication in question.

Other types of objections are minimal in nature, such as requesting for amendments to limit the specification of goods, request for clarification of certain aspects of the marks applied for or a request for a translation of the mark if it was filed in a language other than the National or English languages.

In replying to any objections raised by the examining officer, the normal practice would be for the applicant or the applicant's agent to file a considered reply in writing, more commonly known as "submissions". If the mark is considered to be not distinctive, the applicant may adduce evidence of use of the mark by way of filing a Statutory Declaration showing that the mark has become distinctive through use. In other circumstances, evidence of registration in jurisdictions similar to Malaysia such as the United Kingdom, Singapore and/or Australia would be helpful, but is not legally binding on the Registrar.

With regard to conflicting marks, the applicant must show that the mark applied for may be distinguished from earlier registrations or prior applications such that there is no or little likelihood of confusion and/or deception between the two marks arising from the public.

One of two things may happen when a submission is received from the applicant or his agent. The mark will either be allowed to the advertisement stage or the objections will be maintained. If the latter happens, the applicant may request for a hearing

before the Registrar. If the objections are still maintained after the hearing is conducted, the applicant has a right of an appeal to the High Court.

EXPEDITED EXAMINATION

In addition to the normal track examination highlighted above, with the new Trade Marks (Amendment) Regulations 2011 effective 15 February 2011, there are formal provisions for requesting expedition of normal examination provided the request is filed within four (4) months from the initial trade mark application date. This must be in the form of a reasoned request for expedition and an official fee is payable. The grounds on which expedited examination may be considered are:

- a) national or public interest;
- b) evidence of potential infringement or ongoing infringement proceedings;
- c) registration is a condition to obtaining monetary benefits from the Government or institutions recognized by the Registrar; or
- d) other reasonable grounds.

Upon approval of the request by MyIPO, another substantial official fee is immediately payable to commence expedited examination.

It should be noted that what is expedited is the timeframe within which the mark gets examined, because the application is still subject to the normal and remaining stages leading up to registration.

CERTIFICATION TRADE MARKS

A certification trade mark is a special trade mark different from other trade marks, in that the registered proprietor must not trade in the goods himself. As a matter of fact, certification marks are filed for by organizations, associations, guilds and other institutions who have definite rules and standards governing the use of such marks. Certification relates to source, origin, material used, mode of manufacture, quality and similar traits.

Certification trade marks must basically be capable of distinguishing the goods or services so certified from non-certified goods or services. An application for a certification mark requires a set of the rules governing use of the mark.

RENEWAL

A trade mark application once registered is valid for 10 years from the date of application. It may be renewed every ten years thereafter with payment of a renewal fee. Where the renewal date lapses, an application for late renewal may be filed for with payment of an additional fee. The registration will accordingly be renewed without removing the mark from the Register.

If no timely renewal application is made by the expiration date, the trade mark is gazetted and thereafter will be removed from the Register for non-payment of the renewal fee. Once removed, restoration may be allowed at the discretion of the Registrar subject to a restoration fee as well as a penalty fee within one year from the date of expiration.

RECTIFICATION OF THE REGISTER

Rectification of an entry in the Register may be sought by the registered proprietor or by an aggrieved person. An application for rectification may be made in respect of:

- 1) a non-insertion in or omission from the Register of any entry;
- 2) an entry made in the Register without sufficient cause;
- 3) any entry wrongfully remaining on the Register; for instance a mark the use of which is likely to deceive or cause confusion to the public or would be contrary to law; or one which contains offensive or scandalous matter;
- 4) any error or defect in any entry in the Register.

A registered trade mark may also be removed from the Register upon application by an aggrieved person on the ground that the mark was registered without any genuine intention to use the said mark and there has been no such use; or there has been no bona fide use of the mark in Malaysia for at least three years prior to one month before the date of application to remove it. Rectification proceedings can only be instituted in the High Court.

REGISTERED USER

A registered proprietor who allows any person to use his trade mark, by lawful contract on all or any of the goods or services the mark is registered for, must apply for that person to be entered on the Register as a registered user whether with or without any conditions or restrictions. However, it is a condition of registration that the proprietor shall retain and exercise control over the use of the trade mark and over the quality of the goods or services provided by the registered user in connection with that trade mark.

The permitted use of a registered trade mark by a registered user shall be deemed to be use by the registered proprietor, even if he never uses the mark himself. And thus it insulates the said proprietor from any action of cancellation of the mark from the Register on the ground of his non-use.

The registration of a person as registered user may be:

- 1) varied by the Registrar on the application in the prescribed manner of the registered proprietor with respect to the goods or services for which or with respect to any conditions or restrictions subject to which the registration has effect;
- 2) extended by the Registrar on the application in writing of the registered proprietor for such period as the Registrar thinks fit; or
- 3) cancelled by the Registrar on the application of the registered proprietor.

The Registrar may at any time cancel the registration of a person as registered user of a trade mark for any goods or services in respect of which the mark is no longer registered.

At the expiration of the trade mark registration, a fresh registered user application must accompany the application for renewal of that trade mark registration if the registered user is to continue using the mark thereafter.

INFRINGEMENT OF REGISTERED MARKS

Upon registration of the trade mark, the registered proprietor is granted the exclusive rights to use the trade mark in relation to the registered goods or services. The Malaysian Trade Marks Act 1976 provides for two types of infringement, which are:

- the unauthorized use of a mark which is identical and/or highly similar to the registered mark in respect of the same goods or services such that it will likely deceive and/or confuse the public; and
- the unauthorized use of the mark which is identical and/or highly similar to the registered mark such that it imports a reference to the registered proprietor.

From the above, it can be seen that the registered proprietor may institute a civil legal action against any party that is infringing their trade mark.

As far as unregistered trade mark rights are concerned, the law allows a cause of action under the tort of passing-off if there is a party that is misappropriating the unregistered mark. The burden of proof for a trade mark infringement action is easier to discharge than the burden for a passing-off action.

For trade mark infringement, the necessary ingredients would be for the registered proprietor to show the following:

- a) the trade mark is registered;
- b) the infringing trade mark, whether identical or confusingly similar, has been used by the offender as a trade mark;
- c) that the offender's use of the trade mark is in respect of the registered goods or services.

As for passing-off, the burden of proof is higher. It involves showing substantial evidence of use of the trade mark in order to prove reputation and goodwill of the business in connection with the trade mark used, misrepresentation and likelihood of damage.

The usual reliefs prayed in an infringement action are:

- a) an injunction restraining further infringement of the registered mark;
- b) an order for delivery up of products bearing the offending mark for destruction;
- c) damages in respect of past infringement, or at the Plaintiff's option, an account of profits; or
- d) a Declaration that the Defendant has infringed.

It is to be noted that under Section 70B of the Trade Marks Act 1976, a proprietor of a well-known trade mark is entitled to protection and to restrain by injunction, the use in Malaysia in the course of trade and without the proprietor's consent, of a mark which is identical or where an essential part of it is identical or nearly resembles the well-known mark in respect of the same goods or services, where the use is likely to deceive or cause confusion. Further, a well-known trade mark will be protected whether or not its proprietor carries on business or has any goodwill in Malaysia. Note however, that any bona fide use of a trade mark that is identical to or incorporating a well-known mark before the commencement of this section, which is 01 August 2001, will not be affected by this provision.

Geographical Indication

A geographical indication (GI) is an indication to identify any goods as originating from a particular country, territory, region or locality, and where there is a given quality, reputation or characteristics to those goods that is attributable to that geographical origin. A GI is commonly used on not only natural or agricultural products, but also including products of industry and handicrafts.

GI protection in Malaysia is governed by the Geographical Indications Act 2000 (hereafter “the Act”) and the Geographical Indications Regulations 2001, as amended.

QUALIFICATION

Section 4 of the Act provides that certain GIs may not qualify for protection in Malaysia. They include GIs that are contrary to public order or morality; GIs that have ceased to be protected in the country or territory of origin; and those that have fallen into disuse in the country or territory of origin.

Registration of a GI in Malaysia is prima facie evidence that the GI meets the legal definition and is valid. Nonetheless, the Act also provides protection regardless of whether a GI is registered under the Act.

APPLICATION PROCESS

The rightful applicant of a GI is either a producer of the goods, a competent authority or a trade organization or association. If the applicant resides or has its principal place of business outside Malaysia, a Malaysia-based agent should be appointed as address for service. A system of registration of local agents was introduced in July 2013.

The information required as part of the application process includes identification and capacity of the applicant, the GI and the goods to which it applies, a Statutory Declaration affirming the applicant as bona fide, a summary of the characteristics and quality of the goods, a map of the geographical area and any other supporting documents.

OPPOSITION

Similar to trade marks, there is a two-month statutory period from the date of advertisement for any interested person to oppose the registration of a GI if there are valid reasons to do so. Possible grounds of opposition include those exemptions highlighted above under Section 4 of the Act.

REGISTRATION

A GI registration is valid and enforceable for 10 years from the application date. It can be renewed indefinitely but the Registrar may require additional particulars to be furnished in order to be satisfied that the GI has not fallen into disuse or ceased to be protected in the country or territory of origin.

Once a GI is registered, only producers carrying on stipulated activities in the geographical area specified in the Register shall have the right to use the registered GI in accordance with the quality, reputation and characteristics specified in the Register.

PROCEEDINGS FOR UNLAWFUL USE

Any interested person may institute proceedings in the Court to seek injunction, damages or other legal remedy against unlawful use of a GI. This includes use that misleads the public as to the geographical origin of the goods or use which constitutes an act of unfair competition within the meaning of Article 10bis of the Paris Convention.

Industrial Design

Industrial design protection in Malaysia is governed by the Industrial Designs Act 1996 which entered into force on 01 September 1999. Prior to this date, industrial designs were protected in Malaysia by registering the design in the United Kingdom. This system was repealed when the Malaysian Industrial Designs Act came into force.

It is however possible to maintain the protection of any UK registered design granted and in force prior to 01 September 1999, in Malaysia, through renewal of the UK design in Malaysia.

Malaysia is a member of the Paris Convention and the World Trade Organization.

REGISTRABLE INDUSTRIAL DESIGNS

An industrial design is defined as features of shape, configuration, pattern or ornament applied to an article by any industrial process or means, such features appealing to and being judged by the eye in the finished article. An article means any article of manufacture or handicraft and includes any part of such article or handicraft that is made and sold separately.

Some things are considered not registrable under Malaysian industrial design law and these include:

- a method or principle of construction;
- features of shape or configuration of an article which are dictated solely by function;
- features of shape or configuration of an article which are dependent on the appearance of another article of which the article is intended by the author of the design to form an integral part;
- an integrated circuit or part of an integrated circuit (protected under the Layout-Designs of Integrated Circuits Act 2000); and
- designs that are contrary to public order and morality.

It is important to note that the protection registered is for the external visual appearance of the article to which the design has been applied.

It is only possible to protect a single external visual appearance of the article. It is therefore not permissible to use patent type claims or patent type descriptions of the article in an attempt to claim more than one visual appearance of the article.

The protection is for the whole of the article, which embodies the design. It is not possible to register a design for part of an article unless that part is normally made and sold separately.

NOVELTY REQUIREMENTS

Effective 01 July 2013, Malaysian industrial design law has a worldwide novelty standard, in that the design must not have been disclosed to the public anywhere (whether in Malaysia or elsewhere) before the priority date or the date of application for registration in Malaysia, in respect of the same article or any other article. Disclosure of a design identical or similar (differing only in immaterial details or features commonly used in the relevant trade) to the applied for design in a domestic application having an earlier priority date and that has proceeded to registration, is also novelty defeating.

Public disclosure of the design six months prior to the filing date of an industrial design application is not prejudicial to the novelty of the design, provided the disclosure was made:

- in an official or officially recognized exhibition; or
- by a person other than the applicant or his predecessor in title as a result of an unlawful act committed by that other person.

The degree of newness required to satisfy the requirements of the registered design legislation is not particularly high. When comparing an alleged new design with an earlier design, the alleged new design is not new if it differs from the earlier published design only in “immaterial details”, or in “features commonly used in the relevant trade”.

Industrial design registrations with filing date prior to 01 July 2013 are subject to a local novelty standard, in that the design must not have been prior disclosed to the public anywhere in Malaysia.

STATEMENT OF NOVELTY

The applicant is required to include a “statement of novelty” in the registered design application. As the monopoly protection is for the visual appearance of the entire article, the usual statement of novelty is in the form “the novelty resides in the features of shape and configuration of the article as shown in the representations” or, “the novelty resides in the features of pattern and ornament of the article as shown in the representations”.

If the applicant wishes to draw attention to a particular feature of novelty, then the statement of novelty may, for example, be in the form “the novelty resides in the shape or configuration of the article as shown in solid line in the representations”. It is not advisable to use patent type claims or patent type descriptions as a statement of novelty, as this may be construed to be a claim for a method or principle of construction or a plurality of different designs.

As mentioned above, Malaysian industrial design law does not provide for partial design registration and as such, registrations should generally be in respect of the whole article. If novelty resides in part of an article, then this may be reflected in the Statement of Novelty. It is anticipated that in an infringement action, emphasis would be given to the aspects of the design for which the applicant has claimed novelty.

MULTIPLE APPLICATION

A multiple industrial design application is possible in Malaysia provided the designs belong to the same class of the International Design Classification (Locarno Classification). The different designs may have different authors and priority claims but the applicant cannot differ. By filing a multiple design application, each design is protected separately.

As of 01 January 2007, MyIPO has implemented a new numbering system for Malaysian design applications filed on and after that date.

The new numbering system consists of a prefix portion (two-digit year indicator – five-digit sequential number) followed by a four-digit suffix portion that differentiates between a single design and a multiple design application and at the same time shows the individual design number and total number of designs filed for each application.

Particularly, for multiple design applications, separate registration numbers will now be allocated for each design in a multiple design application. In addition, each design in a multiple application is treated as a separate application during the course of prosecution, and upon registration will be treated as a separate registration with an individual certificate issued.

SET OF ARTICLES

It is also possible to file a single industrial design application for a “set of articles” in Malaysia.

A “set of articles” means a number of articles that are of the same general character and are ordinarily on sale together or intended to be used together. The same industrial design must be applied to each article in the “set of articles”.

An example of a “set of articles” for the purposes of a Malaysian design application is a cutlery set comprising forks, spoons, knives etc. bearing the same flower pattern thereon. Each article of a set is protected separately; in other words an act of infringement does not require the complete set to be copied.

FILING REQUIREMENTS

The filing requirements of an industrial design application in Malaysia are:

- a) full name, address and state of incorporation/nationality of the applicant;
- b) Appointment of Agent Form (ID Form 10) signed by the applicant (no notarization or legalization of the Form is required);
- c) full name and address of the author;
- d) information on how the applicant has derived the right to the design from the author (normally by way of assignment, employment or other agreement);
- e) one set of representations of the design (drawings or photographs, each representation not exceeding 12.5cm by 9cm);

- f) statement of novelty;
- g) name of article;
- h) the class and sub-class of the International Design Classification;
- i) designation of view(s) to be published upon registration;
- j) details of any priority claim (the country, filing date and serial number of the priority application); and
- k) priority document and its certified English translation.

With regards to item (b) above, if the Appointment of Agent form is not available for filing with the application, current practice requires that an extension of time be requested. Alternatively, a certified copy of the signed form can be submitted initially, provided the original follows later.

With regards to item (e) above, note that drawings are generally preferable, as photographic representations often invoke formality objection.

APPLICATION PROCEDURE

The documentation requirements are listed above. There is an official filing fee payable for each design application as well as official publication fees that depend on the number of views to be published in the Official Journal upon registration.

The application is first assigned a design application number (or series of numbers for a multiple design application) and a filing date by MyIPO. The application documents and representations are then checked for compliance with the formal requirements.

There is no search or substantive examination of the application. However, in practice, objections of a substantive nature (for example, as to whether there is a design within the legal definition) are raised from time to time.

Due to the above-mentioned new numbering system for multiple design applications, a separate request to amend an application now needs to be filed for each design in a multiple design application. The official fee for amendment must also be paid individually for each design being amended.

Once the application is in order, a registration certificate is normally issued between six to twelve months of filing the application. The application is then published in the Official Journal. There are no provisions for opposition proceedings.

An application which, owing to any default or neglect on the part of the applicant, has not been completed so as to enable registration to be effected within twelve months of its filing date shall be deemed to be withdrawn.

DURATION AND RENEWAL

The initial term of registration for a Malaysian design is 5 years from the filing date of the application for registration. In practice, MyIPO uses the priority date as the base date for the calculation of the registration term and the due dates of renewal payments. The application can be renewed for four further five year periods, giving a maximum term of 25 years.

Each renewal fee may be paid at any time prior to the expiry date of the current period of registration. It is also possible to make a late payment, not more than six months after the expiry date, subject to a late payment penalty fee.

RESTORATION

If an industrial design registration lapses unintentionally, the owner may apply for its restoration within one year of publication of a notice of the lapsing in the Official Journal. The condition for restoration is that the failure to renew the registration was due to an accident or mistake. There are provisions for an interested party to oppose the proposed restoration.

UNITED KINGDOM REGISTERED DESIGNS

Any UK registered design granted and in force prior to 01 September 1999 can also continue to be protected in Malaysia subject to the filing of applications for renewal in Malaysia with renewal payments due at 5-year periods from the priority date of the UK registration.

In June 2006, MyIPO announced that UK registered designs granted before 01 September 1999 will be allowed to be renewed in Malaysia, for the full 25-year term. Effective 15 February 2012, the renewal of any UK registered design for a fourth or fifth 5-year period is subject to the payment of an official fee. Prior to that date, no official fee had been prescribed.

INFRINGEMENT

Under Malaysian industrial design law, without the licence or consent of the design owner, it is an infringement to:

- apply the registered industrial design or any fraudulent or obvious imitation of it to any article in respect of which the design is registered;
- import into Malaysia any article to which the industrial design or any fraudulent or obvious imitation of it has been applied, for sale or for use for the purposes of trade or business; or
- sell, or offer or stock for sale, or hire, or offer or stock for hire, any article to which the industrial design or any fraudulent or obvious imitation of it has been applied.

Infringement proceedings must be taken by the owner of the design within five years of the act(s) of infringement.

REVOCACTION

Any person may apply to the High Court for the revocation of the registration of an industrial design.

The grounds for revocation of a registered design are as follows:

- the design is not new; or
- registration of the design was procured by unlawful means.

There are also provisions for any aggrieved person to seek rectification of the Register.

Integrated Circuit Layout-Designs

The protection of layout-designs (or topographies) of integrated circuits in Malaysia is governed by the Layout-Designs of Integrated Circuits Act 2000 (hereafter "the Act") which came into force on 15 August 2000. Layout-designs are specifically excluded from the definition of a design that can be registered under the Industrial Designs Act 1996.

DEFINITIONS

As defined in the Act:

- "integrated circuit" means a product, whether in its final form or in an intermediate form, in which the elements, at least one of which is an active element, and some or all of the interconnections are integrally formed in and on, or in or on, a piece of material and which is intended to perform an electronic function; and
- "layout-design" means the three-dimensional disposition, however expressed, of the elements of an integrated circuit and some or all of the interconnections of the integrated circuit or such a three-dimensional disposition prepared for an integrated circuit intended for manufacture.

QUALIFICATION FOR PROTECTION

There is no registration system. As such, no official fees are payable. A layout-design is protected automatically, provided the following conditions are met:

- the layout-design is original, in the sense of being the result of the creator's own intellectual effort and not being commonplace at the time of its creation;
- the layout-design has been fixed in material form - this includes any form of storage from which reproduction is possible - or incorporated into an integrated circuit; and
- the right holder was a qualifying person at the time the layout-design was created.

A qualifying person means a natural or legal person who is:

- a national of, domiciled or ordinarily resident in, or
- incorporated in, or
- has a real and effective industrial or commercial establishment for the creation of layout-designs, or for the production of integrated circuits in

Malaysia or any member country of the World Trade Organization (WTO).

The Governments of such countries also qualify to be the right holders of layout-designs.

TERM OF PROTECTION

A layout-design is protected for a period of 10 years from the date of its first commercial exploitation anywhere in the world, except that the term of protection shall not exceed a period of 15 years from the date the layout-design was created.

RIGHTS CONFERRED

The right holder of a protected layout-design has the right to:

- reproduce, and authorize the reproduction of, all or a substantial part of the protected layout-design, whether by incorporation into an integrated circuit or otherwise; and
- commercially exploit, and to authorize the commercial exploitation of, the protected layout-design, an integrated circuit in which the protected layout-design is incorporated, or an article that contains such an integrated circuit - commercial exploitation includes selling, letting for hire, or otherwise distributing by way of trade, and importation for these purposes.

INFRINGEMENT

Generally, it is an infringement of the rights of the right holder to do in Malaysia any of the acts referred to in the immediately preceding section, without the right holder's consent. However, there is no infringement where the act in question is done innocently, meaning the person concerned did not know, and could not reasonably be expected to have known that a copy of the protected layout-design, an integrated circuit in which it is incorporated, and/or an article in which such an integrated circuit was contained, was/were unauthorized.

ACTION AND REMEDIES FOR INFRINGEMENT

A right holder is entitled to bring an action in the High Court in the event of an infringement of his rights in a protected layout-design. The Court may grant an injunction, as well as make an order for damages or an account of profits, or such other relief as the Court considers fit.

No damages shall be awarded against a defendant who, at the time of committing an act of infringement, was not aware and had no grounds for suspecting that the act constituted an infringement.

In an action to enforce the rights of a right holder, unless the defendant puts the matter in issue, there shall be a presumption that the layout-design is protected and that the plaintiff is the right holder.

Copyright

The governance of copyright in Malaysia is provided for by the Copyright Act 1987 (hereafter “the Act”). Malaysia has been a member of the Berne Convention since 1990 and thus the local law and requirements for this branch of intellectual property law have evolved and developed to harmoniously align with other convention countries.

Categories of work recognized in Malaysia include literary, artistic and musical works. A book, manual, song, drawing, sculpture, film, sound recording and computer source codes are all examples of works that can be protected by copyright. Protection is accorded for the form of expression in a piece of work, regardless of the work’s quality.

QUALIFICATION

An interpretation of Section 10 of the Act provides the various manners in which a work may qualify for copyright protection in Malaysia:

- Status of Author: the author must be a citizen or permanent resident of Malaysia, or of any Berne Convention member country, at the time the work was made; or

- First Publication: the work must be first made available to the public in Malaysia, or in any Berne Convention member country; or if the work is first made available to the public elsewhere, it must be subsequently made available within 30 days to the public in Malaysia or in any Berne Convention member country; or
- Place of Making: the work (other than published editions) must be made in Malaysia or the work (other than sound recordings, broadcasts and published editions) is made in any Berne Convention member country.

OWNERSHIP

Copyright entitles the owner to control the doing of various acts in relation to the created work and to prevent or restrict any use without consent.

The general rule is the author of a created work would also be its copyright owner. However, there are circumstances where the author and owner are distinct from each other, such as where the author was commissioned to create the work, or where the work was created in the course of the author's employment. In such instances, copyright vests in the commissioner or employer, subject to any agreement to the contrary between the author and the other party.

COPYRIGHT SUBSISTENCE AND PROOF OF OWNERSHIP

Protection is conferred as-of-right to any original work produced with sufficient skill and effort and expressed in material form.

Although formal registration is not mandatory to secure protection, it is highly advisable that the creation of the work and its ownership are properly documented. This could be in the form of a simple copyright claim notification with the symbol ©, accompanied by the ownership details and year of publication.

As further evidentiary aid (especially in a copyright infringement suit), Section 42 of the Act allows a person to affirm an affidavit or statutory declaration, stating that copyright subsists in a true copy of the work annexed to the affidavit and that he is the owner of the copyright. The facts stated therein can be used as prima facie evidence in any proceedings under the Act, subject to further proof or disproof.

VOLUNTARY NOTIFICATION

To take proof of copyright one step further, Malaysia implemented the Copyright (Voluntary Notification) Regulations 2012 and added Section 26A into the Act.

Copyright stakeholders can voluntarily notify the Copyright Controller of their claims and deposit a copy of an eligible work with MyIPO for recording in the Register of Copyright. The rightful applicant under the Regulations is the author, owner, assignee or licensee of the copyrighted work. A representative may make such notification if the applicant is not a citizen or permanent resident of Malaysia.

A notification shall contain particulars such as details of the copyright owner and author, a Statutory Declaration to assert the applicant's locus standi, a copy of the work, the date and place of its first publication, and accompanied by the prescribed fee. The work must be titled and if it is in a language other than the national language (Bahasa Malaysia) or English, the name of that language and its translation must be provided. As the Controller does not take it upon himself to verify the claims made therein, accuracy of the information entered into the Register is dependent on the information provided by the applicant.

One requirement is that the copy of the work submitted must be clear and of durable quality to the satisfaction of the Controller. Either hard or electronic copies are permitted for submission, depending on the nature of the work in question. The official fees payable depend on the manner in which the work is deposited.

Once the notification is successfully recorded, the applicant may request for a proper certificate by paying the prescribed fee. Such a certificate shall be considered prima facie evidence in all Malaysian courts.

RIGHTS CONFERRED

A copyright owner has the exclusive rights to control in Malaysia the reproduction, communication, performance, distribution and commercial rental of his work. The copyright owner is entitled to assign or license any of these rights. However, these rights are subject to statutory exceptions including fair dealing in private study, non-profit research, review, reporting of current events, and private and domestic use.

Moral rights of the author are concurrently protected in that he has the right to be identified as the author of the work, and he can object to any act or treatment towards his work that might reasonably be regarded as adversely affecting the author's integrity or reputation.

TERM OF PROTECTION

In Malaysia, the protection period for literary, musical or artistic works published during the author's lifetime is for the life of the author and another 50 years after his death. On the other hand, for any work published after the author's death, the protection term shall be 50 years from the date of publication of the work.

INFRINGEMENT

Copyright is infringed when a person (other than the owner or licensee) commits or authorizes any act relating to the rights that are exclusive to the copyright owner. Indirect infringement is also prohibited under the Act, including the importation of any article into Malaysia for the purposes of trade or financial gain, where the person knows or ought reasonably to have known that the making of the article was done without the consent or licence of the copyright owner. There is also infringement in circumventing or causing any circumvention of any effective technological measures that are used to restrict unauthorized acts.

These acts of infringement are actionable by way of a civil suit in the High Court. Reliefs that may be granted include damages, an account of profits and an injunction.

OFFENCES

Other than civil remedies, certain infringing acts attract criminal liability. These include making an infringing copy for sale or hire, importing, selling, letting for hire or distributing any infringing copy, possession other than for private use, and operating an audiovisual recording device in a screening room. The penalties include a substantial fine for each infringing copy and/or imprisonment not exceeding five years. For subsequent offences, both the fine and imprisonment are doubled. Power and responsibility for criminal enforcement lie with the Enforcement Unit of the Ministry of Domestic Trade, Co-operatives and Consumerism.

Plant Variety Protection

Plant breeder's rights are protected in Malaysia by the filing of new plant variety applications under the Protection of New Plant Varieties Act 2004 (hereafter "PNPV Act"). Presently, Malaysia has yet to accede to the International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV).

REGISTRABILITY

It is intended for the PNPV Act 2004 to ultimately offer protection for all crops. However, for the time being, protection is limited to a priority list of 25 crops divided amongst ornamental crops, fruits, industrial crops, cereal crops and forest plantation species. The processing of application for plant varieties other than those listed in the priority list is subject to the availability of technical expertise and technical data and at the discretion of the Plant Variety Protection Registration Office (PVPRO).

In order to qualify for registration under the PNPV Act, the plant variety needs to firstly meet the novelty requirement.

A plant variety will be considered new if the new variety and/or material propagated or harvested from the new variety has not been commercialized or sold either earlier than one year in Malaysia or four years in other countries, prior to the filing date.

For varieties of trees and vines that have been prior commercialized outside of Malaysia, the novelty term is six years prior to filing.

Additionally, plant varieties will also need to meet the standard DUS requirement i.e. distinct (clearly distinguishable from any other plant variety), uniform (sufficiently uniform in the relevant characteristics) and stable (relevant characteristics remain stable after repeated propagation).

A lower standard of registrability is imposed on plant varieties that are bred or discovered and developed by a farmer, local community or indigenous people. Such varieties will only need to be new, distinct and identifiable to qualify for registration.

NON-REGISTRABLE VARIETIES

An application for registration will not be approved for any plant variety that is either contrary to public order or morality; or where there are reasonable grounds to believe that the cultivation, reproduction or use of that plant variety may negatively impact the environment.

FILING REQUIREMENTS

The minimum filing requirements for a Malaysian plant variety application are:

- a) the name, address and nationality of applicant;
- b) specification of method by which the variety was developed;
- c) supporting documents and/or information relating to distinguishing characteristics of variety;

- d) proposed denomination for variety;
- e) information relating to the source of genetic material or immediate parental lines of variety;
- f) supporting documents relating to compliance with any law regulating access to genetic or biological resources;
- g) supporting documents relating to compliance with any law regulating activities involving genetically modified organisms (if the variety involves genetic modification); and
- h) prior written consent of the authority representing a local community or indigenous people (if the variety is developed from traditional varieties).

When filing a new Malaysian plant variety application on the basis of a corresponding foreign application, a copy of the registration certificate, certified as true by the foreign Plant Variety Protection Office (together with a verified English translation, if the document is in a non-English language) is required. If available, it is also advisable to submit a list of all corresponding foreign applications together with their status.

EXAMINATION

After filing, the application will undergo preliminary examination for novelty, suitability of the proposed denomination and whether the plant variety applied for falls under the definition of a non-registrable variety.

Upon successful completion of preliminary examination, a notice will be issued by the PVPRO. Thereafter, the applicant will need to request for substantive examination within 30 days of receipt of the notice from the PVPRO.

During substantive examination, the variety will undergo DUS examination which can be conducted by the PVPRO based on any of the following methods:

- a) growing test;
- b) on-site inspection; and
- c) document examination.

The growing test is conducted at the PVPRO's DUS Test Station or in any other appropriate site ascertained by the examiner. The on-site inspection is carried out by the examiner in the applicant's premises.

Generally, where corresponding foreign applications have been filed, DUS examination will normally be conducted based on document examination i.e. the PVPRO will consider the DUS test reports issued by the foreign Plant Variety Protection Authority.

The details of the plant variety will be published by the PVPRO in the Government Gazette upon completion of substantive examination.

OPPOSITION

Any interested party may oppose the registration of a new plant variety within three months of its publication in the Government Gazette. The opposition procedure is conducted before the PVPRO.

The registration of a new plant variety can be opposed on the following grounds:

- a) the person opposing the registration of the new plant variety is entitled to the breeder's rights of that variety;
- b) the application for registration of the new plant variety and grant of breeder's rights does not comply with the requirements of the PNPV Act;
- c) the application for registration of the new plant variety and grant of breeder's rights is contrary to public order or morality;
- d) the application for registration of the new plant variety and grant of breeder's rights may produce a negative impact on the environment.

REGISTRATION

If no opposition is filed, the application will be approved for registration and the applicant will be notified.

The applicant is then required to deposit a sample of the seeds or other propagating material of the plant variety with the PVPRO within three months of notification of approval.

The quantity of the samples to be deposited is as follows:

- a) seeds – 1000 seeds or 10 grams, whichever is suitable; or
- b) other propagating materials – 10 plants.

The certificate of registration will be issued by the PVPRO once the relevant samples have been deposited. The denomination and registration of the new plant variety will be recorded in the Register of New Plant Varieties and published in the Government Gazette.

DURATION AND RENEWAL

A registered plant variety will have a maximum term of 20 years calculated from the filing date of the application. For varieties of trees and vines, the maximum term of protection is 25 years from the filing date.

The maximum term of protection for registered plant varieties bred or discovered and developed by a farmer, local community or indigenous people is 15 years.

Annual renewal fees are payable after registration.

INFRINGEMENT

The exclusive breeder's rights granted under the PNPV Act are the right to produce or reproduce, condition for the purpose of propagation, offer for sale, sell, export, import, and stock the material for the purpose of propagation, offering for sale, selling, exporting, importing.

These exclusive rights extend to the following:

- a) propagated/harvested material entirely or partly derived from unauthorized propagating;
- b) varieties not clearly distinguishable from the registered variety; and
- c) production of varieties that require repeated use of the registered variety.

Any unauthorized exploitation of the variety will constitute infringement of the exclusive breeder's rights accorded under the PNPV Act.

Applicants are encouraged to provide molecular data in the form of a DNA fingerprint of the protected variety along with the protocols and primers used for the DNA fingerprinting to the PVPRO during substantive examination. This information will aid in safeguarding the interest of rights holders in the event of infringement.

Infringement proceedings must be instituted before the High Court. Such proceedings must be instituted by the rights holder within five years from the date the infringement was discovered or could have reasonably been discovered by the rights holder.

INVALIDATION

Any interested party can institute invalidation proceedings before the High Court.

The grounds on which invalidation of a registered plant variety may be sought are as follows:

- a) the rights holder has furnished false or misleading information/statement to the PVPRO in respect of the application for registration of the new plant variety;
- b) the rights holder has not complied with the requirements of the PNPV Act; or
- c) the breeder's right does not belong to the person to whom it was granted.

REVOCACTION

The PVPRO may revoke the breeder's right granted if:

- a) the rights holder fails to provide the information, facilities or propagating material deemed necessary for maintenance of the deposited samples, when requested;
- b) the rights holder fails to pay the relevant fees to keep his rights in force;
- c) the registered variety fails to demonstrate continuously the characteristics for which the registration was made and granted;
- d) the rights holder contravenes any of the terms and conditions imposed on him as owner; or
- e) the rights holder uses or caused to be used the deposited samples without the prior written permission of the PVPRO.

Apart from Malaysia, Brunei and Singapore, Patent and Trade Mark filing is also available in collaboration with Associates of Henry Goh & Co Sdn Bhd in the following countries:

Bangladesh • Cambodia • China • Hong Kong • India • Indonesia • Japan • Korea • Laos
Macau • Myanmar • Nepal • Pakistan • Philippines • Sri Lanka • Taiwan • Thailand • Vietnam

Henry Goh & Co Sdn Bhd (332744-A)

VO2-10-06 Lingkaran SV, Sunway Velocity, Jalan Peel, 55100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

T: +60 3 9771 8688 • **F:** +60 3 9771 8788 • **E:** malaysia@henrygoh.com

**Henry
Goh&Co**